From a cycle of violence to a culture of safety: Leveraging connections to prevent multiple forms of violence

“Gang violence is connected to bullying is connected to school violence is connected to intimate partner violence is connected to child abuse is connected to elder abuse. It’s all connected. We operate in these silos that we’ve got to break down.”

Deborah Prothrow-Stith, M.D., Dean, Drew College of Medicine

Lived experience, community wisdom, practitioner insights, and a growing body of research demonstrate the linkages between different forms of violence. Violence contributes to more violence

 Violence contributes to more violence

Most victims of violence do not act violently. However:

- Survivors of one form of violence are more likely to be victims of other forms of violence.
- Survivors of violence are at higher risk for behaving violently.
- People who behave violently are more likely to commit other forms of violence.

Source: Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links Among Multiple Forms of Violence

Lived experience, community wisdom, practitioner insights, and a growing body of research demonstrate the linkages between different forms of violence. Multiple forms of violence share common risk and resilience factors and too often, experiencing or witnessing one form of violence is associated with exposure to additional forms of violence. Further greater recognition is emerging that multiple forms of violence have a particularly harmful impact on young children.
Direct experience as well as exposure to multiple forms of violence can have a compounding negative impact on children’s development. Despite the strong relationship, the fields of practice addressing different forms of violence have largely been silo-ed. More recently with the release of Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links Among Multiple Forms of Violence by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Prevention Institute3 and initiatives such as the Defending Childhood Initiative, there is a growing recognition of the importance of these linkages. There are increasing efforts to build a more integrated approach to violence prevention through, for example, taking a life course perspective that focuses on childhood and adolescence to maximize long-term impact; scaling up strategies that address risk and resilience factors that are common across forms of violence; and concentrating investments among populations and communities that experience disproportionate rates of violence.

This brief summarizes five connections between child maltreatment, intimate partner violence, and community violence and makes the case for an integrated movement for safety in our homes and communities. It draws on recent research and analysis on the linkages between multiple forms of violence4,5 and offers examples of emerging actions to address the linkages. Achieving the bold vision of a Culture of Health means that we must also create a culture of safety.

Five connections between multiple forms of violence:

1. Child maltreatment, intimate partner violence, and community violence are often experienced together.
2. Common underlying factors influence the likelihood of multiple forms of violence.
3. Different forms of violence have common impacts on individuals, families, and communities.
4. Exposure to one form of violence increases risk of further victimization and engagement in violent behavior.
5. Multiple forms of violence are shaped by common structural factors such as racism and sexism, resulting in inequities in rates of violence.
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assessment. Involving residents in discussing how violence was playing out in families and neighborhoods led to shared understanding, informed creative policy, and environmental design strategies, and greater community-wide buy-in for these strategies.10

2 Common underlying factors—such as community cohesion, economic stress, and harmful gender norms—influence the likelihood of multiple forms of violence. Risk factors increase the likelihood of violence, while resilience factors protect against it. No one factor alone can be attributed with causing or preventing violence; it is the accumulation of risk factors without compensatory resilience factors that puts individuals, families, and communities at risk. Risk factors—such as norms that support aggression, lack of economic opportunities, and low neighborhood cohesion—increase the likelihood of multiple forms of violence.11 Resilience factors such as social cohesion, especially when focused on norms that support safety, can strengthen collective efficacy and protect against multiple forms of violence.12,13,14,15

By pursuing prevention strategies that affect risk and resilience factors common to different forms of violence, practitioners, communities, and individuals are more likely to prevent several forms of violence simultaneously. Communities are increasingly working to address common underlying factors through a focus on resilience factors at the community level. For example, the East San Jose PEACE Partnership in San Jose, California, is working to promote social capital and economic opportunity at the neighborhood level in order to prevent multiple types of violence.

3 Different forms of violence have common impacts on individuals, families and communities. There are common impacts of child maltreatment, intimate partner violence, and community violence on victims.16 In addition to injury and death, violence and exposure to violence can have a range of negative impacts on health and wellbeing. The impacts can potentially be long-term across the lifespan. Chronic stress and a negative impact on mental health, well-being, and physical health all cut across forms of violence. For example, child maltreatment can have short and long term negative impacts on mental, emotional, and physical health.17,18,19

Definitions

VIOLENCE is the intentional use of physical force or power, threatened or actual, against oneself, another person, or against a group or community that either results in or has a high likelihood of resulting in injury, death, psychological or emotional harm, mal-development, or deprivation.12

CHILD MALTREATMENT is an act, intentional or not, that results in harm, the potential for harm, or the threat of harm to a child; the failure to provide for a child’s needs or to protect a child from harm or potential harm.13

INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE is physical violence, sexual violence, stalking, and psychological aggression (including coercive tactics) by a current or former intimate partner.14

COMMUNITY VIOLENCE is a unique form of violence that is cyclical in nature and often intergenerational with impacts on the community’s ability to trust the police, other parts of government, and others for safety. It is different from random acts of violent crime in that it refers to the deeply shared impact of experiencing violence in public space.15

STRUCTURAL DRIVERS, sometimes referred to as structural determinants, are the distribution of power, money, and other resources nationally and globally that, “together fashion the way societies are organized.”32 Structural drivers include economic and social policies, and processes and norms, particularly at the national and international levels, that reflect historic and present day systems of inequality, such as racism, classism, sexism and heterosexism. Structural drivers not only fuel chronic stress – such as from the stressors associated with living in poverty and with racism – they are also the fundamental root contributors to inequities in community conditions and, consequently, health and safety outcomes.33
Intimate partner violence is associated with a number of adverse outcomes to partners and children, as a direct result and through chronic stress and adverse coping behaviors.\textsuperscript{20,21,22,23,24} Community violence has negative impacts on children, adolescents, and adults, including mental health, and chronic disease.\textsuperscript{25,26,27,28} The impacts of these forms of violence are particularly severe for children. Exposure to multiple forms of violence in early childhood or adolescence can affect behaviors, emotional health, and overall progression through age-appropriate developmental milestones.\textsuperscript{29,30,31} Commonalities in the impact of multiple forms of violence on families and communities also exist. When violence is prevalent, entire communities can experience trauma, weakened social ties, and lack of economic investment.\textsuperscript{38}

By recognizing the shared and compounding impacts of multiple forms of violence, practitioners, and advocates can come together to build a shared agenda, demonstrate that investment in violence prevention can have an impact across several issues, and advocate for program, practice, and policy changes. The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has built many partnerships to operationalize its strategic plan to address multiple forms of violence, including partners from education, youth development, community services, domestic violence, and suicide prevention, as well as bullying, and substance abuse. This “big tent” approach has allowed the Department to combine otherwise siloed funds and partnerships to develop and implement creative, multifaceted programming.

For example, adults who are violent toward their partners are also more likely to harm their children.\textsuperscript{46} Furthermore, while the majority of victims of violence do not act violently, substantial research also indicates a correlation between exposure to violence during childhood and perpetrating violence later in life.\textsuperscript{47,48,49,50} For instance, witnessing community violence can alter brain function in early childhood, leading to the preferential development of survival skills and ‘adaptive’ tendencies towards violence at the expense of the development of other, vital competencies unless there are intervening experiences to counter the impact.\textsuperscript{51,52} Violence exposure in childhood may also affect future relationships and eventually parenting capacities, potentially contributing to a cycle of violence.\textsuperscript{53} Exposure to community violence is associated with an increased risk for intergenerational child maltreatment.\textsuperscript{54}

Recognizing that exposure to one form of violence increases the risk of victimization or perpetration of others, in its 2012 update to its statewide strategic plan, the North Carolina Division of Public Health, Injury and Violence Prevention Branch and stakeholders decided that child maltreatment prevention would be one of the three cross-cutting issues in its plan to prevent multiple forms of injury and violence.\textsuperscript{55}

Multiple forms of violence are shaped by common structural factors such as racism and sexism, resulting in inequities in rates of violence. Structural drivers—the inequitable distribution of power, money, opportunity, and resources—are a key determinant of inequities,\textsuperscript{56} including violence. At a fundamental level, inequities in rates of violence can be understood as disparities in power. Another way that structural drivers influence health outcomes is by shaping the circumstances in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age.\textsuperscript{57} Drivers such as structural racism and socio-economic inequity, for example, play out at the community level to deeply impact community conditions such as lack of economic opportunities, and low neighborhood cohesion. Research has now shown that after adjusting for individual risk factors, there are neighborhood differences in health and safety outcomes.\textsuperscript{58} For example, young people are more likely to be exposed to violence at home and in the community when they are living in poverty.\textsuperscript{59} While all
communities experience violence, it takes an inequitable toll on groups with less power, including young people, people of color, and people living in poverty.

Social inclusion is the process of improving the terms for individuals and groups to take part in society. Strategies to improve social inclusion can reduce the risk for violence by expanding participation in decision-making processes, reducing isolation, and ensuring that all people have access to the community resources they need.

The Milwaukee Health Department is leading a citywide planning effort to address multiple forms of violence that acknowledges that structural factors generate inequities in rates of violence, emphasizes equity as a principle for prevention, and includes community building, community healing, restorative justice, training to address implicit bias, and a variety of community engagement strategies in ongoing violence prevention planning and implementation. Further, recognizing the intersection of healthy child development and community safety, the plan integrates a life course perspective and strategies to support young children and parents.

### Toward a Culture of Safety

The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation’s bold vision for a Culture of Health underscores the need to promote safety. Their metrics for the nation include reducing the percentage of people with two or more Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) and increasing the percentage of youth who report feeling safe in their communities and schools. Recognizing and operationalizing strategies to foster safety must take a life course perspective in support of our most vulnerable children and families who are too often victimized by cycles of multiple forms of violence. Success can be achieved through, for example, braiding and blending funding streams, investing in a more integrated infrastructure, and cultivating partnerships among researchers, advocates, and practitioners for a broader set of assets and stronger collective voice to drive policy and practice. Families and young children do not experience violence in silos; too often they experience multiple forms. By addressing these multiple forms, we can achieve a culture of safety.
Additional Resources

**Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links among Multiple Forms of Violence:** Prevention Institute developed this publication with the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It identifies shared risk and protective factors for multiple forms of violence and can inform joint efforts to prevent multiple forms of violence at once, thus protecting young children from exposure to violence.

**Preventing Multiple Forms of Violence: A Strategic Vision for Connecting the Dots:** This strategic vision, developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, articulates why a cross-cutting approach is important to achieving measureable reductions in violence and how it can be done.

**How Do We Connect the Dots? Local Approaches to Preventing Multiple Forms of Violence:** This web conference references the Connecting the Dots research, while expanding the conversation about local and state strategies that prevent sexual and domestic violence among other forms of violence concurrently.

**A Good Solution Solves Multiple Problems: Exploring Prevention Strategies that Address Multiple Forms of Violence:** This paper lays out the multiple connections among child abuse, intimate partner violence and community violence.

**Reducing Children’s Exposure to Violence: Maximizing Outcomes through Multi-Sector Engagement:** This paper explores the benefits of engaging multiple sectors in reducing traumatic exposures for children and youth.
Funding and Authorship

This brief, written by Prevention Institute, was made possible by a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to Prevention Institute and the Center for the Study of Social Policy.

Cradle to Community: A Focus on Community Safety and Healthy Child Development was a national partnership project of Prevention Institute and the Center for the Study of Social Policy, supported by a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. With the UNITY City Network and Early Childhood LINC, the project identified strategic policy, practice, systems, and norms change levers to make communities safer so that all children have the opportunity to develop optimally. The project also identified policies and practices to strengthen early childhood development as a strategy to foster safer communities in the long-term. Activities included convenings, a learning lab, peer learning forums, technical assistance, and the development of briefs, fact sheets, and profiles to support practice. The 18 month planning grant laid the foundation for critical work at the intersection of healthy child development and community safety, all in service to a Culture of Health.

Prevention Institute is a focal point for primary prevention, dedicated to fostering health, safety, and equity by taking action to build resilience and to prevent problems in the first place. A national nonprofit with offices in Oakland, Los Angeles, and Washington D.C., we advance strategies, provide training and technical assistance, transform research into practice, and support collaboration across sectors to embed prevention and equity in all practices and policies. Since its founding in 1997, Prevention Institute has focused on transforming communities by advancing community prevention, health equity, injury and violence prevention, healthy eating and active living environments, health system transformation, and mental health and wellbeing.

UNITY, a Prevention Institute initiative, builds community safety in cities through comprehensive, multi-sector strategies that prevent violence and support community resilience. By supporting practice and innovation, UNITY has been collectively advancing the field and shifting the paradigm on community violence and what cities can do about it since 2005.

The Center for the Study of Social Policy (CSSP) is a national, nonprofit organization recognized for its leadership in shaping policy, reforming public systems and building the capacity of communities. CSSP’s mission is to secure equal opportunities and better futures for all children and families. The organization works to ensure that children and youth are born healthy; enter school ready to learn and succeed; and grow up in safe, supported, and economically successful families and communities. CSSP especially focuses on those who face the most significant barriers to opportunity, including racial and ethnic minorities, immigrants and refugees, families in neighborhoods of concentrated poverty, and families in contact with intervening public systems.

Early Childhood Learning and Innovation Network for Communities (EC-LINC), an initiative of the Center for the Study of Social Policy, was developed by and for local communities across the country, and works to support families and improve results for young children through accelerating the development of effective, integrated, local early childhood systems.
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